

FINAL BILL REPORT

ESHB 1959

C 514 L 09
Synopsis as Enacted

Brief Description: Concerning land use and transportation planning for marine container ports.

Sponsors: House Committee on Local Government & Housing (originally sponsored by Representatives Simpson, Rodne, Williams and Armstrong; by request of Governor Gregoire).

House Committee on Local Government & Housing
House Committee on General Government Appropriations
Senate Committee on Government Operations & Elections

Background:

Port Districts.

Port districts have numerous powers related to the movement of goods and the development of facilities within their district. In 2008 two Washington ports, the Port of Seattle and the Port of Tacoma, had annual operating revenues in excess of \$60 million. Additionally, the 2008 operating revenues of the Ports of Vancouver and Everett both exceeded \$20 million.

Growth Management Act.

The Growth Management Act (GMA) is the comprehensive land use planning framework for county and city governments in Washington. Enacted in 1990 and 1991, the GMA establishes numerous requirements for local governments obligated by mandate or choice to fully plan under the GMA (planning jurisdictions) and a reduced number of directives for all other counties and cities. Twenty-nine of Washington's 39 counties, and the cities within those counties, are planning jurisdictions.

The Department of Community, Trade and Economic Development (DCTED) provides technical and financial assistance to jurisdictions that must implement requirements of the GMA.

The GMA directs planning jurisdictions to adopt internally consistent comprehensive land use plans that are generalized, coordinated land use policy statements of the governing body. Comprehensive plans must address specified planning elements, each of which is a subset of

This analysis was prepared by non-partisan legislative staff for the use of legislative members in their deliberations. This analysis is not a part of the legislation nor does it constitute a statement of legislative intent.

a comprehensive plan. The implementation of comprehensive plans occurs through locally adopted development regulations.

Comprehensive plans and development regulations are subject to continuing review and evaluation by the adopting county or city. Except as otherwise provided, planning jurisdictions must review and, if needed, revise their comprehensive plans and development regulations according to a recurring seven-year statutory schedule. Jurisdictions that do not fully plan under the GMA must, generally satisfy requirements pertaining to critical areas and natural resource lands according to this same schedule.

Transportation Facilities and Services of Statewide Significance.

State law has declared certain transportation facilities and services to be of statewide significance. Examples of these facilities include:

- the interstate highway system;
- interregional state principal arterials, including ferry connections, that serve statewide travel;
- the freight railroad system; and
- marine port facilities and services that are related solely to marine activities affecting international and interstate trade.

In addition to other planning requirements for transportation facilities, the Department of Transportation, in consultation with local governments, must set level of service standards for state highways and state ferry routes of statewide significance.

Summary:

The comprehensive plans of cities that have a marine container port with annual operating revenues in excess of \$60 million within their jurisdictions must include a container port element. The DCTED must provide matching grant funds to qualifying cities to support development of container port elements.

The comprehensive plans of cities that include all or part of a port district with annual operating revenues in excess of \$20 million may include a marine industrial port element. Prior to adopting a marine industrial port element, the commission of the applicable port district must adopt a resolution in support of the proposed element.

Container port elements and marine industrial elements (port elements) must be developed collaboratively between the city and the applicable port, and must establish policies and programs that:

- define and protect the core areas of port and port-related industrial uses within the city;
- provide reasonably efficient access to the core area through freight corridors within the city limits; and
- identify and resolve key land use conflicts along the edge of the core area, and minimize and mitigate, to the extent practicable, incompatible uses along the edge of the core area.

Port elements must also be:

- completed and approved by the city according to the recurring review and revision schedule of the GMA; and
- consistent with the economic development, transportation, and land use elements of the city's comprehensive plan, and consistent with the city's capital facilities plan.

In adopting port elements, cities and ports must ensure that there is consistency between the port elements and port requirements pertaining to harbor and marginal land improvements, while retaining sufficient planning flexibility to secure emerging economic opportunities.

In developing port elements, a city may utilize one or more of several specified approaches, including:

- the creation of a port overlay district that protects container port uses;
- the use of buffers and transition zones between incompatible uses;
- the use of policies to encourage the retention of valuable warehouse and storage facilities; and
- the use of other approaches by agreement between the city and the port.

Any planned improvements identified in adopted port elements must be transmitted by the city to the Transportation Commission for consideration of inclusion in a specific statewide transportation plan.

The list of legislatively declared transportation facilities and services of statewide significance is expanded to include key freight transportation corridors that serve marine port facilities and services that are related solely to marine activities affecting international and interstate trade.

Votes on Final Passage:

House	96	0	
Senate	44	1	(Senate amended)
House			(House refuses to concur)
Senate	48	0	(Senate refuses to recede)
House	94	0	(House concurred)

Effective: July 26, 2009